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Abstract


Parasitoid behavior was monitored to investigate the ability of *Euplectrus plathypenae* (Howard) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) to distinguish between healthy and infected with nucleopolyhedroviruses *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner) and *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. Third and fourth instars (L3 and L4) of both Noctuidae species were used in the present study. When *E. plathypenae* females were given choice between healthy and virus-infected *S. exigua* and *S. frigiperda* hosts, the adult females exhibited marked preference (P < 0.05) for the healthy hosts of the both instars of the two species. The ovipositional discrimination study also showed that the correlation between the percent parasitism of healthy and virus-infected L3 larvae was significantly higher than the correlation between parasitized healthy and virus-infected L4 *S. frugiperda* larvae. In *S. exigua* larvae the opposite correlation between the two instars has been observed.

The results proved that *E. plathypenae* females and the multiple-enveloped nucleopolyhedroviruses of *S. exigua* (SeMNPV-SP2) and *S. frigiperda* (SfMNPV) did not significantly compete for the same type of host larvae and are, generally, compatible. Since the healthy and virus-infected larvae were different in a number of ways, several factors may have contributed to the behavioral discrimination evidenced.
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**Introduction**

Parasitoids and pathogens of insects are important determinants of their host’s population dynamics and structure, as has been shown by a number of theoretical and laboratory studies (Begon et al., 1992; Sait and Thompson, 1994a; Begon et al., 1995; Briggs and Godfray, 1995). The interaction between the host and each of the natural enemies has been examined independently, but these parasites are unlikely to act alone and a complex of interactions might be expected between them (Hochberg et al., 1990).

Infection of invertebrates by a pathogen is often a largely random event, being dependent on chance ingestion by the host. Successful parasitism, however, is determined to a great extent by parasitoid searching behavior and the selection of hosts that are suitable for immature parasitoid development to the adult stage (Vinson, 1976; Vinson and Iwantsch, 1980). Infection with a lethal pathogen may reduce the value of an otherwise suitable host, depending on whether there are costs to the parasitoid associated with attacking these hosts and the resulting competition between parasitoids. Costs may be in terms of loss of progeny that fail to complete development, reduced adult size, and increased development time or time wasted in handling infected hosts (Irabagon and Brooks, 1974; Beegle and Oatman, 1975; Levin et al., 1981; Caballero, Vargas-Osuna and Santiago-Alvarez, 1990; Hochberg, 1991a).

When larval parasitoids are unable to develop in infected and clearly unsuitable hosts, host selection models obviously predict that the adult female parasitoid should reject these individuals (Godfray 1994).

Versoi and Yendol (1982) established significant differences among the number of parasite-host contacts and percent ovipositional attempts observed under various treatments indicated that the parasites preferred noninfected larvae, making fewer efforts to parasitize virus-infected individuals.

Versoi and Yendol (1982) and Caballero et al. (1991) indeed found that parasitoids exhibited some degree of avoidance of infected hosts, though they did not reject them altogether.

Beegle and Oatman (1975) and Levin et al. (1983), however, showed no discrimination at all in the host-pathogen-parasitoid interactions they investigated. This lack of a consistent pattern may have a reason because these studies did not examine variation in parasitoid’s perception of a suitable host and its propensity to accept or reject.

Hamm et al. (1983) reported that there was no significant difference in productivity of parasitoids in infected and control larvae.

Escribiano at al. (2000) reported that the larval parasitoid *Campoletis sonorensis* and the egg parasitoid *Chelonus insularis* did not discriminate between virus-contaminated and uncontaminated *Spodoptera frugiperda* eggs.

Hegazi and Abo Abd Allah (2004) showed that the adult *Microplitis rufiventris* females exhibited marked preference for granulosis virus-infected *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae than non-infected larvae.

Here, the host-parasitoid-pathogen in-
teraction is that between the beet armyworm Spodoptera exigua (Hubner) (Lepidoptera Nocuidae) and the fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and the ectoparasitoid Euplectrus plathypenae (Howard) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) and the nucleopolyhedroviruses of the both Noctuidae species. As in many host-pathogen interactions, host mortality increases with the virus dose, while susceptibility decreases with the larval age (Sait, Begon and Thomson, 1994b).

The aim of this study was to determine if E. plathypenae females could discriminate between virus infected and non-infected S. exigua and S. frugiperda larvae and to determine whether the outcomes differ with variations in the host age.

Materials and Methods

Insect rearing

The rearing of the beet armyworm S. exigua and the fall armyworm S. frugiperda was performed at a constant temperature (25 °C), humidity (70 %) and photoperiod (16 h light: 8 h dark), in the insectary facilities of the Universidad Publica de Navarra, Spain. Larval stages of all three species were reared on artificial diet developed by Poitout and Bues (1974). The ectoparasitoid E. plathypenae was maintained continuously using S. frugiperda larvae from the laboratory population.

Viruses

The nucleopolyhedroviruses (NPVs) used in this study were the S. frugiperda multiple-enveloped nucleopolyhedrovirus (SfNPV) originally isolated in Nicaragua and recently characterized (Escribano et al., 1999) and the wild type of S. exigua multiple-enveloped nucleopolyhedrovirus (SeNPV-SP2) isolated from NPV-infected S. exigua larvae during a viral epizootic in vegetable greenhouses in El Ejido, Spain (Caballero et al., 1992). The stocks of viruses were produced in early fourth instar (L4) S. exigua and Spodotera frugiperda larvae previously orally inoculated with SeNPV and SfNPV and reared on artificial diet until death. Virus-killed larvae were triturated and occlusion bodies (OBs) were purified as Munoz et al. (1998). The OBs were re-suspended in distilled water, counted using a Neubauer Improved chamber (Hawksley, Lancing, United Kingdom) and stored at 4 °C prior to use.

Host discrimination experiments

The present study consisted of two parts, every one in two variants. In the first, third (L3) and fourth instars (L4) S. exigua larvae were used in the first and second variant respectively. In the first variant 30 newly molted L3 S. exigua larvae were inoculated by droplet-feeding bioassay (Hugues and Wood, 1981) with SeNPV-SP2 suspension containing 2.44 x 10^6 OBs/ml which presented LC90 concentration. In the second variant 30 newly molted L4 S. exigua larvae were treated with viral suspension with LC90 2.3 x 10^7. After inoculation, the larvae from the two variants were transferred to a plastic Petri dish (115 mm in diameter, 45 mm in height) (5 larvae in a Petri dish), containing fresh diet. When larvae started molting (over 24 hours after inoculation), they were individually transferred to a Petri dish containing one healthy molting L3 to L4 larvae in the first variant and L4 to L5 instar in the second variant and then exposed to one E. plathypenae female (with experi-
ence i.e. which previously had parasitized larvae). The infected larvae were marked with a small spot of dark marker to distinguish them from the healthy larvae. Immediately after one of the larvae (healthy or infected) in every Petri dish was parasitized, the parasitoid was removed. The marked infected larvae were checked every day for mortality due to the virus. The experiment was repeated 3 times in the every variant.

The second part of the study was conducted as the method described above. Instead of S. exigua larvae were used L3 and L4 S. frugiperda larvae and SfMNPV. The LC90 virus concentration used for inoculation of L3 larvae was 3, 32 x 10^7 OBs/ml and 6, 83 x 108 OBs/ml for the L4 larvae.

Data analysis
Data were subjected to analysis of variance for determination of differences between mean values. For mean separation student's t-test was applied.

Results and Discussion

In the first part of the study when C. insularis females were given a choice between healthy and infected with SeMNPV-SP2 S. exigua larvae, highly significant (P<0.05) differences in female acceptance between these two types of hosts were found. In the first variant the percent ovipositional attempts in the healthy L3 larvae was 20.67 ± 0.06 % and was significantly greater than the virus-infected L3 larvae - 13.13 ± 0.12 %. The same dependence of the mean percent parasitism - 71.1 ± 0.02 % for the healthy and 28.9 ± 0.1 % for the virus-infected larvae - has been established in the second variant where L4 S. exigua larvae were used (Figure 1).

In the first variant of the second part of the study the mean percentage of parasitism by E. plathypenae differed significantly (P < 0.05) when were offered L3 healthy (88.9 ± 0.01 %) and infected (11.1 ± 0.11 %) S. frugiperda larvae. In the second variant the mean percent of parasitism of healthy L4 S. frugiperda larvae was 66.7 ± 0.01 % and those of the virus-infected larvae - 33.3 ± 0.03 % (Figure 2).

In the ovipositional choice study, significant differences were also found in the mean percent of parasitism of healthy and virus-infected larvae between the different instars of the S. frigiperda larvae. The percent of parasitism of the healthy L3 S. frigiperda larvae was 88.9 ± 0.01 %, that significantly differed form the parasitism of the healthy L4 larvae- 66.7 ± 0.01 %.

---

**Fig. 1.** Percentages of parasitism of third (L3) and fourth (L4) virus-infected and healthy S. exigua larvae exposed simultaneously to individual E. plathypenae females. Significant differences between parasitism of noninfected and infected larvae were observed at the two instars.
The percent parasitized infected L3 and L4 *S. frigiperda* larvae was 11.01 % ± 0.11 % and 33.3 ± 0.03 % respectively (Figure 2). In *S. exigua* larvae the opposite correlation has been observed. The parasitism of healthy L3 *S. exigua* larvae was 20.67 ± 0.06 % compared with the healthy L4 - 71.1 ± 0.02 %. The percent parasitized virus-infected L3 *S. exigua* larvae was 13.13 ± 0.12 % and those of the virus-infected L4 larvae - 28.9 ± 0.1 % (Figure 1).

The apparent discrimination by *E. plathypenae* between virus-infected and healthy hosts can be explained with striving of the females parasitoids to select the "higher quality" of host larvae and providing their successful progeny development. The results of this study suggest that *E. plathypeane* females and ScMNPV-SP2 and SiMNPV did not significantly compete for *S. frigiperda* and *S. exigua* larvae respectively and are, generally, compatible. The results are in agreement with Versoi and Yendol (1982), who mentioned that the parasitoid *Apanteles melanoscelus* is able to discriminate between healthy and virus-infected gypsy month larvae. However, the present results are not comparable with those of Levin et al. (1983), who found that the adult *Apanteles glomeratus* did not exhibit a statistically significant discrimination between GV-infected and non-treated larvae of *Pieris rapae*. Sait et al. (1966) observed that the solitary ichneumonid endoparasitoid *Venturia canescens* (Gravenhorst) examined and probed granulovirus-infected *Plodia interpunctella* (Hubner) larvae to the same degree as healthy larvae, although oviposition behavior was significantly reduced in heavily infected hosts.

Some factors that may have contributed to this behavioral discrimination are: the differences in the chemistry within or on the larval integument; perhaps its physical structure of infected and noninfected larvae; the kairomone associated with the silk deposited by the noninfected larvae (Weseloh, 1977) and the response of the parasitoid to bodily movements of infected larvae.

In this study has also been found significant differences in the percent parasitized healthy L3 larvae in comparison with the healthy L4 *S. frigiperda* larvae (Figures 1 and 2). That results could be explained with the higher susceptibility of the L3 larvae than L4 larvae toward the virus infection and the consequences of early showing of sighs of the disease is a possible reason the female to reject the virus-infected L3 hosts with higher percent than L4 hosts.

Parasitoids have evolved mechanisms for discriminating between low quality hosts because individuals of this kind are com-
monly encountered in the populations in which they forage, and it pays to avoid them (Godfray, 1994). Thus, the results of the present study showed that the parasitoid *E. plathypenae* possesses that adaptive strategy of parasitizing infected hosts providing successful development of its progeny.

**Conclusions**

- The adult females exhibited marked preference (P < 0.05) for the L3 and L4 healthy *S. exigua* larvae.
- *E. plathypeneae* females preferred healthy than infected with SfMNPV L3 and L4 *S. frugiperda* Larvae.
- The ovipositional discrimination study also shows that the correlation between the percent parasitism of healthy and virus-infected L3 larvae is significantly higher than the correlation between parasitized healthy and virus-infected *S. frugiperda* larvae. In *S. exigua* larvae the opposite correlation between the two instars has been observed.
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